arXiv:1212.4882v1 [math.OA] 19 Dec 2012

Generalised Gelfand Spectra of Nonabelian Unital C^*-Algebras II: Flows and Time Evolution of Quantum Systems

Andreas Döring
University of Oxford
andreas.doering@cs.ox.ac.uk

11. December 2012

Abstract

In [8], we associated a presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ with each unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ generalises the Gelfand spectrum of an abelian unital C^*-algebra. In the present article, we consider one-parameter groups of automorphisms of the spectral presheaf, arising for example from one-parameter groups of inner automorphisms of the algebra. We interpret the spectral presheaf as a (generalised) state space for a quantum system and show how we can use flows on the spectral presheaf and on associated structures to describe the time evolution of non-relativistic quantum systems, both in the Schrödinger picture and the Heisenberg picture.

Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary 46L05, 18F20; Secondary 81R15, 81R60.

Keywords: C^*-algebra, von Neumann algebra, spectrum, automorphism, presheaf, topos, time evolution

1 Introduction

It was shown in [8], henceforth called Part I, how to associate a presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$, called the spectral presheaf, with each unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. This object was first defined in the topos approach to quantum theory [21, 22, 12, 13, 14, 15, 3, 4, 16, 5, 6, 17, 9, 7], see also [18, 19, 20, 27], and is interpreted physically as a generalised state space of a quantum system. Mathematically, the spectral presheaf can be regarded as a generalised Gelfand spectrum of a nonabelian C^*-algebra.

It was shown in Part I that every unital $*$-homomorphism between unital C^*-algebras gives rise to a morphism between their presheaves in the opposite direction, and how the construction of spectral presheaves and their morphisms can be understood categorically as being based on 'local duality'. By considering automorphisms of the spectral presheaf, we determined how much algebraic information about a nonabelian C^*-algebra is contained in its spectral presheaf. For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ without type I_2-summand, we showed that the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ determines exactly the Jordan structure of $\mathcal{N}$, and using recent results by Hamhalter, we showed that for a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, the spectral presheaf determines $\mathcal{A}$ up to quasi-Jordan isomorphisms and, in some cases, also up to Jordan isomorphims.

In the present article, we will develop some applications in physics. The setting is non-relativistic algebraic quantum theory in which the physical quantities (observables) of a single quantum system are described by the self-adjoint operators in a von Neumann algebra. This setting is what we mean when referring to 'standard quantum theory' in the main text. Extensions to composite systems, special relativistic space-times, etc. will be treated in future work.

In order to make this article reasonably self-contained, we will state those results of Part I that we will need in the following in section 2. In section 3, we briefly consider inner automorphisms of a unital C^*-algebra, one-parameter groups of unitaries and associated flows on the spectral presheaf. In section 4, which forms the bulk of the paper, we discuss the time evolution of quantum systems in terms of flows on structures associated with the spectral presheaf of (the von Neumann algebra of physical quantities of) a quantum system. Prop. 20 may be of independent interest, since it is a reformulation of the generalised version of Gleason's theorem, valid for von Neumann algebras with no type I_2 summand, in terms of global sections of a certain presheaf. This can be compared to the reformulation of the Kochen-Specker theorem in terms of (the non-existence of) global sections of the spectral presheaf [21, 22, 2], which was a central insight in the early stages of the topos approach to quantum theory.

Standard references on operator algebras are e.g. [24, 1], and [26, 23] on topos theory.

2 Some results of Part I

We summarise notation and sketch some results from Part I [8] that we will need in the rest of this paper. For details, proofs and a fuller development, see Part I.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra. The set $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ of non-trivial unital abelian C^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ that share the unit element with $\mathcal{A}$, partially ordered under inclusion, is called the *context category of* $\mathcal{A}$. Elements C, D of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ are called *contexts of* $\mathcal{A}$. The category $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ of presheaves over $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, contravariant functors from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathbf{Set}$, with natural transformations as arrows, is a topos. We call it the *(presheaf) topos associated with* $\mathcal{A}$. The *spectral presheaf* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is an object in the topos $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}$, given

(a) on objects: for all contexts $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_C$ is the Gelfand spectrum of C, the set of algebra morphisms (characters) $\lambda : C \to \mathbb{C}$, equipped with the relative weak*-topology,

(b) on arrows: for all inclusions $i_{C'C} : C' \hookrightarrow C$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}(i_{C'C}) : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_C &\longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_{C'} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda|_{C'}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Definition 1 *(Def. 20 in [8]) Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be a unital* C^**-algebra, and let* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ *be its spectral presheaf. An* automorphism of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ *is a pair* $\langle \Gamma, \eta \rangle$*, where* $\Gamma : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ *is an essential geometric automorphism, induced by an order-automorphism* $\gamma : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ *(called the* twisting map*).* $\Gamma^* : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ *is the inverse image functor of the geometric automorphism* Γ*, and* $\eta : \Gamma^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ *is a natural isomorphism for which each component* $\eta_C : (\Gamma^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}))_C \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_C$*,* $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$*, is a homeomorphism. Hence, an automorphism* $\langle \Gamma, \eta \rangle$ *acts by*

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma^*} \Gamma^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{2}$$

We will also use the notation $\eta \circ \Gamma^*$ *for an automorphism* $\langle \Gamma, \eta \rangle$*. The automorphisms of* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ *form a group, which we denote as* $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$*.*

The first key observation is that every unital $*$-automorphism $\phi : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ induces an automorphism of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$: clearly, ϕ gives an order-automorphism (twisting map)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \\ C &\longmapsto \phi(C), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which in turn induces an essential geometric morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ with inverse image part

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^* : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} \\ \underline{P} &\longmapsto \underline{P} \circ \tilde{\phi}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In particular, $\Phi^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$ is given, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, by

$$(\Phi^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}))_C = \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{\phi}(C)} = \Sigma(\phi(C)), \tag{5}$$

i.e., the component of $\Phi^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$ at C is the Gelfand spectrum of $\phi(C)$. Morevoer, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a unital $*$-isomorphism

$$\phi|_C : C \longrightarrow \phi(C), \tag{6}$$

and by Gelfand duality, there is a homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\phi;C} : \Sigma(\phi(C)) = \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{\phi}_C} &\longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_C = \Sigma_C \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda \circ \phi|_C. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The $\mathcal{G}_{\phi;C}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, are the components of a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_\phi : \Phi^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}, \tag{8}$$

so we obtain an automorphism $\langle \Phi, \mathcal{G}_\phi \rangle : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$, acting by

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} \Phi^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_\phi} \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that the assignment $\phi \mapsto \langle \Phi, \mathcal{G}_\phi \rangle$ is injective. We obtain:

Proposition 2 *(Prop. 26 in [8]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ be its spectral presheaf. There is an injective group homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \phi &\longmapsto \langle \Phi, \mathcal{G}_\phi \rangle = \mathcal{G}_\phi \circ \Phi^* \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

from the automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}$ into the opposite group of automorphism group of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$. (This is the same as an injective, contravariant group homomorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ *into* $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$*.)*

In Part I [8], we showed that if the unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is neither isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ nor to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, then in fact an automorphism $\langle \Gamma, \eta \rangle$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is completely determined by specifying the twisting map $\gamma : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ underlying the essential geometric morphism Γ. That is, the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is 'rigid' in the sense that it has exactly as many automorphisms as the underlying poset $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. In order to formulate this precisely, let $\mathrm{Aut}_{ord}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ denote the group of order automorphisms of the context category. Then we have

Theorem 3 *(Thm. 30 in [8]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ is neither isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ nor to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{ord}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ is contravariantly isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$ of automorphisms of the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$, the set $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ of non-trivial abelian von Neumann subalgebras that share the unit element with $\mathcal{N}$, partially ordered under inclusion, is called the *context category of the von Neumann algebra* $\mathcal{N}$. Elements V, W of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ are called *contexts of* $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$.

The spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is a presheaf over $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, defined in complete analogy to the case of unital C^*-algebras (where the base category is $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$). Automorphisms of the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ of a von Neumann algebra are defined just as in the case of unital C^*-algebras, cf. Def. 1. The analogue of Prop. 2 also holds:

Proposition 4 *(Prop. 16 in [8]) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra, and let $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be its spectral presheaf. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ be the automorphism group of $\mathcal{N}$, and let $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ be the automorphism group of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. There is an injective group homomorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})^{op}$ (that is, there is an injective, contravariant group homomorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$), given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})^{op} \\ \phi &\longmapsto \langle \Phi, \mathcal{G}_\phi \rangle = \mathcal{G}_\phi \circ \Phi^* \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

If $\mathcal{N}$ is a von Neumann algebra with projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, then the group of automorphisms of the complete orthomodular lattice $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ is denoted $\mathrm{Aut}_{cOML}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}))$. Moreover, each von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ determines a Jordan algebra, also denoted $\mathcal{N}$, which has the same elements and linear structure as the von Neumann algebra, and Jordan product defined by

$$\forall \hat{A}, \hat{B} \in \mathcal{N} : \hat{A} \cdot \hat{B} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}). \tag{12}$$

A Jordan automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$ is a bijective (unital) linear map that preserves the Jordan product. The Jordan automorphisms of $\mathcal{N}$ form a group $\mathrm{Aut}_{Jordan}(\mathcal{N})$.

In Part I, it was shown:

Theorem 5 *(Thm. 19 in [8]) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra without summand of type I_2, with projection lattice $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, context category $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, associated Jordan algebra also denoted $\mathcal{N}$, and spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$.*

The groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{ord}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}))$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{cOML}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}))$, and $Aut_{Jordan}(\mathcal{N})$ are isomorphic. Every twisting map $\tilde{T} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{ord}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}))$ induces a unique automorphism $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ of the complete orthomodular lattice of projections, which extends to a Jordan $*$-automorphism $T : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$. Conversely, each Jordan $*$-automorphism restricts to an automorphism of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, and induces an order-automorphism of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$.

Each twisting map $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ induces an automorphism $\langle \tilde{T}, T^* \rangle : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ of the spectral presheaf, and the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ of automorphisms of the spectral presheaf is contravariantly isomorphic to the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{ord}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}))$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{cOML}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}))$, and $Aut_{Jordan}(\mathcal{N})$.

3 Action of the unitary group and flows on the spectral presheaf

In subsection 3.1, we consider some aspects of inner automorphisms and the action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ of a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ on its spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$. In subsection 3.2, flows on the spectral presheaf are defined. It is shown that each one-parameter group of unitaries induces a flow on $\underline{\Sigma}$.

3.1 Inner automorphisms

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{uC}^*)$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ be the unitary group of $\mathcal{A}$. Each element $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ induces an inner automorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\hat{U}} : \mathcal{A} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ \hat{A} &\longmapsto \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. This corresponds to an automorphism of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$, the spectral presheaf of $\mathcal{A}$. Concretely, $\phi_{\hat{U}}$ induces an order automorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\phi_{\hat{U}}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \\ C &\longmapsto \hat{U}C\hat{U}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

which gives an essential geometric automorphism

$$\Phi_{\hat{U}} : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{op}}}. \tag{15}$$

Using the inverse image part, we map $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ to $\Phi^*_{\hat{U}}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$. We then consider the natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} : \Phi^*_{\hat{U}}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{16}$$

with components

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U};C} : \Phi^*_{\hat{U}}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})_C &\longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}_C \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_C. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Then

$$\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \rangle = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \circ \Phi^*_{\hat{U}} : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{18}$$

is the automorphism of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ induced by $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. It is clear by construction that

$$(\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \rangle)^{-1} = \langle \Phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}^{-1}} \rangle = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}^{-1}} \circ \Phi^*_{\hat{U}^{-1}} = (\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \circ \Phi^*_{\hat{U}})^{-1} \tag{19}$$

is the inverse automorphism, and that $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{1}} \circ \Phi_{\hat{1}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}}$.

Prop. 2 implies

Proposition 6 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ be its spectral presheaf. There is a group homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \hat{U} &\longmapsto \langle \Phi_{\hat{U}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \rangle = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \circ \Phi^*_{\hat{U}} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

from the unitary group of $\mathcal{A}$ into the opposite of the group of automorphisms of the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. (This is the same as a contravariant group homomorphism from $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})$.)

This group homomorphism is not injective, though, because if $\hat{U}$ is in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$, then the inner automorphism $\phi_{\hat{U}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ induced by $\hat{U}$ is the identity. It is straightforward to remedy this: let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_0 := \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, and let[1]

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{proper}} := \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_0. \tag{21}$$

We obtain:

Corollary 7 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}$ be its spectral presheaf. There is an injective group homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{proper}} &\longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{A}})^{\text{op}} \\ \hat{U} &\longmapsto \langle \Phi_{\hat{U}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \rangle = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \circ \Phi^*_{\hat{U}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

3.2 Flows on the spectral presheaf

We switch to von Neumann algebras from here on. It is clear that each element $\hat{U}$ of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ induces an inner automorphism $\phi_{\hat{U}}$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and that the analogues of Prop. 6 and Cor. 7 hold for von Neumann algebras.

Let $\hat{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$, possibly unbounded (see e.g. [24]). By Stone's theorem, $\hat{H}$ induces a strongly continuous one-parameter group

$$\begin{aligned} U : \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}) \\ t &\longmapsto e^{it\hat{H}} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

of unitary operators in $\mathcal{N}$, i.e., a representation of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$. Each $\hat{U}_t := U(t)$ gives an inner automorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\hat{U}_t} : \mathcal{N} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \\ \hat{A} &\longmapsto \hat{U}_t \hat{A} \hat{U}_t^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Definition 8 *A representation*

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) \\ t &\longmapsto \langle \Gamma_t, \eta_t \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

---

[1] The following quotient is not trivial in physical terms, at least not if more sophisticated situations are considered: in the case of multi-particle systems and degeneracy, the trivial dynamics (described by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_0$) may lead to relative phases. Other interpretational issues arise from non-trivial experiments involving weak measurements. I thank Bertfried Fauser for pointing out these aspects to me. Here, we focus on a single system and its unitary evolution, for which dividing out $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})_0$ is unproblematic.

of the additive group of real numbers by automorphisms of the spectral presheaf is called a flow on the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}$*.*

Each one-parameter group $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of unitaries determines a flow on $\underline{\Sigma}$, since the corresponding one-parameter group $(\phi_{\hat{U}_t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{N}$ is a representation of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$, and by Prop. 4, there is a group homomorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})^{\mathrm{op}}$.

More generally, by Thm. 5 every one-parameter group $(J_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of Jordan $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{N}$ determines a flow on the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$.

4 Flows and time evolution of physical systems

It is interesting to consider flows defined not only on the spectral presheaf, but also on other structures associated with it, because this allows describing the time evolution of quantum systems. In the picture we will develop in this section, the spectral presheaf is interpreted as a state space of the quantum system, analogous to the state space (phase space) of a classical system.

As usual in quantum theory, there are two formulations of time evolution: a Schrödinger picture, in which states change in time and physical quantities stay fixed, and a Heisenberg picture, in which physical quantities change in time and states remain fixed. We will discuss the analogous transformations with respect to structures associated with the spectral presheaf. We will consider a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$, interpreted as the algebra of physical quantities of a quantum system, and its spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, interpreted physically as a state space for the quantum system. Tom Woodhouse developed some of these aspects in his M.Sc. thesis [28].

In fact, instead of considering the representation of physical quantities directly (which in the topos approach to quantum theory are given by certain arrows $\breve{\delta}(\hat{A}) : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \underline{\mathbb{R}^{\leftrightarrow}}$ from the spectral presheaf to a presheaf $\underline{\mathbb{R}^{\leftrightarrow}}$ of generalised values, permitting real intervals as 'unsharp' values, see [14, 6]), we will be concerned with *propositions* about the values of physical quantities. The basic propositions are written symbolically as "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta$", which is interpreted as "the physical quantity A has a value in the Borel set $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$". Mathematically, such propositions are represented by suitable subobjects of the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. In the Schrödinger picture, the propositions are fixed, but in the Heisenberg picture, they change in time, because the physical quantities do.

States of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ correspond to (generalised) probability measures on the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. This is structurally analogous to classical physics, where states are given by probability measures on the state space of the system. We discuss the Heisenberg picture in subsection 4.1, the Schrödinger picture in subsection 4.2, and consider compatibility between them and covariance in subsection 4.3.

4.1 Propositions, clopen subobjects and Heisenberg picture

Let A be a physical quantity of the quantum system under consideration, and let $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{N}_{\text{sa}}$ be the self-adjoint operator in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ that represents A.[2] Let "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta$" be a proposition about the value of A. Since we aim to formulate a form of Heisenberg picture, we know that A and hence "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta$" will change in time, so we will add a time label $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to the proposition, now writing "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta; t$".[3]

By the spectral theorem, a proposition "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta; t$" corresponds to a projection $\hat{P}_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, the projection lattice of $\mathcal{N}$. For each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, let

$$\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t) := \bigwedge\{\hat{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(V) \mid \hat{Q} \geq \hat{P}_t\}. \tag{26}$$

For each abelian von Neumann algebra V, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_V : \mathcal{P}(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \\ \hat{P} &\longmapsto \{\lambda \in \Sigma_V \mid \lambda(\hat{P}) = 1\} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

between the complete Boolean algebra of projections in V and the complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V)$ of clopen subsets of the Gelfand spectrum of V. Given a projection $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, we will write $S_{\hat{P}} = \alpha_V(\hat{P})$ for the corresponding clopen subset of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$. Conversely, given $S \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V)$, we will write $\hat{P}_S := \alpha_V^{-1}(S)$ for the corresponding projection in V.

In particular, the projection $\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t) \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ corresponds to the clopen subset

$$S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)} = \alpha_V(\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)). \tag{28}$$

---

[2] We assume that all relevant physical quantities can be represented by bounded operators in $\mathcal{N}$. It is straightforward to treat also unbounded operators affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$, since we just consider propositions such as "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta$" about the physical quantities, which by the sepctral theorem correspond to projection operators. For a self-adjoint operator $\hat{A}$ affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$, all these projections lie in the algebra $\mathcal{N}$.

[3] Alternatively, we could use the notation "$A(t) \in \Delta$", which would suggest more clearly that the physical quantity A is changing in time. In any case, this is just a symbolic notation; the key point of course is how such propositions are represented.

Letting V vary over $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, we obtain a family $(S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)})_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$ of clopen subsets, one in each abelian subalgebra $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$. It is straightforward to show that these clopen subsets form a subobject of the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, that is, for all inclusions $i_{V'V} : V' \hookrightarrow V$, it holds that

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}(i_{V'V})(S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)}) = \{\lambda|_{V'} \mid \lambda \in S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)}\} \subseteq S_{\delta^o_{V'}(\hat{P}_t)}. \tag{29}$$

In fact, equality holds, $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}(i_{V'V})(S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)}) = S_{\delta^o_{V'}(\hat{P}_t)}$, as was shown in [13]. We write

$$\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t) := (S_{\delta^o_V(\hat{P}_t)})_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})} \tag{30}$$

for this subobject, which is called the *outer daseinisation of* $\hat{P}_t$. The subobject $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$ is the representative of the proposition "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; t$" in the topos approach.[4] Details are discussed elsewhere, see e.g. [13, 6, 7].

Definition 9 *A subobject* $\underline{S}$ *of* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *is called* clopen *if, for each* $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, *the component* $\underline{S}_V$ *is a clopen subset of* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$. *The set of clopen subobjects is denoted as* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. *There is a partial order on* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, *given by*

$$\forall \underline{S}_1, \underline{S}_2 \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} : \underline{S}_1 \leq \underline{S}_2 \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad (\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) : \underline{S}_{1;V} \subseteq \underline{S}_{2;V}). \tag{31}$$

With respect to this order, $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is a complete distributive lattice. It was shown in [13] that $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is a (complete) Heyting algebra, and in [7] that it is a complete bi-Heyting algebra. All the subobjects of the form $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$ that arise from daseinisation are clopen subobjects, and hence the algebra $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is interpreted as an algebra of propositions. $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ plays a central role in the new form of logic for quantum systems arising from the topos approach.

We will define the action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and time evolution in the Heisenberg picture in terms of flows on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, before coming back to elementary propositions of the form "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; t$" and their representing subobjects $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$ at the end of this subsection.

Remark 10 *The complete bi-Heyting algebra* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *can also be interpreted as the analogue of the complete Boolean algebra of measurable subsets modulo null subsets of a measure space. In fact, using* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *as a sample space*

---

[4] In classical physics, a proposition like "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; t$" is represented by a (Borel) subset S_t of the state space Σ of the system. The spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is the analogue of the state space Σ for the quantum case, and the subobject $\underline{S}_t = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$ is the analogue of the subset S_t.

for a quantum system, with $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *as the algebra of measurable subsets, all basic structures of quantum probability can be formulated in a way that is structurally completely analogous to classical probability, see [11] (and [10]). We will make use of this interpretation of* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *in subsection 4.2 when representing quantum states as probability measures on* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$*, with the elements of* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *as measurable sub'sets' (or rather, subobjects).*

Let $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ be an automorphism of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ with underlying twisting map $\gamma : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, and let $\underline{S} \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be a clopen subobject. Then

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) : (\Gamma^*(\underline{S}))_V = \underline{S}_{\gamma(V)} \tag{32}$$

and, by restricting $\eta_V : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma(V)} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$ to $(\Gamma^*(\underline{S}))_V$, we have $\eta_V((\Gamma^*(\underline{S}))_V) \subseteq \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$. Moreover, if $V' \subset V$, then

$$(\Gamma^*(\underline{S}))_{V'} = \underline{S}_{\gamma(V')} \subseteq \underline{S}_{\gamma(V)}, \tag{33}$$

because $\underline{S}$ is a subobject. Furthermore,

$$\eta_{V'}((\Gamma^*(\underline{S}))_{V'}) \subseteq \eta_V(\underline{S}_{\gamma(V)}), \tag{34}$$

so the components $(\eta_V(\underline{S}_{\gamma(V)}))$, $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, form a subobject of $\underline{\Sigma}$, which we denote $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle(\underline{S})$. Clearly, this subobject is also clopen, so each automorphism $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ induces a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \\ \underline{S} &\longmapsto \eta(\Gamma^*(\underline{S})). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Let $\underline{S}_1, \underline{S}_2 \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be two clopen subobjects such that $\underline{S}_1 \leq \underline{S}_2$. Then, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}(\underline{S}_1))_V &= \eta_V(\Gamma^*(\underline{S}_1)) && (36)\\ &= \eta_V(\underline{S}_{1;\gamma(V)}) && (37)\\ &\subseteq \eta_V(\underline{S}_{2;\gamma(V)}) && (38)\\ &= (\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}(\underline{S}_2))_V, && (39) \end{aligned}$$

so an automorphism $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}$ of $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ preserves the order. $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}$ has an inverse, so it also reflects the order and hence is an order-automorphism. This implies that $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}$ preserves the bi-Heyting structure on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$.

If we consider an automorphism $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ of the spectral presheaf as the analogue of a measurable function, it is natural to use the inverse image (and not the forward image, as we did so far) of this 'function' to act on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, which is the analogue of the algebra of measurable subsets.

We assume from now on that $\mathcal{N}$ has no type I_2-summand. Since $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is an automorphism, induced by a unique twisting map $\gamma : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ by Thm. 5, the inverse image (on subobjects) is determined by the inverse transformation $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1} : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ (on the spectral presheaf itself), which is the automorphism induced by the twisting map $\gamma^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $T : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ denote the Jordan $*$-automorphism corresponding to $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ by Thm. 5. Clearly, $T^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ is the Jordan $*$-automorphism corresponding to $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1}$. Moreover,

$$\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1} = \langle\Gamma^{-1},\eta^{-1}\rangle, \tag{40}$$

where $\Gamma^{-1} : \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is the essential geometric automorphism induced by $\gamma^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, and $\eta^{-1} : (\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is the natural isomorphism with components, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_V^{-1} : ((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}))_V = \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} &\longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V \\ \lambda &\longrightarrow \lambda \circ T^{-1}|_V, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

that is, precomposition with $T^{-1}|_V : V \to \gamma^{-1}(V)$, the restriction of the Jordan $*$-automorphism T^{-1} to $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$.

We will write

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1}} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \\ \underline{S} &\longrightarrow \eta^{-1}((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{S})) \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

for the automorphism of $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ induced by $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1} = \langle\Gamma^{-1},\eta^{-1}\rangle$. Note that the assignment $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle \mapsto \widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1}}$ is contravariant. By Thm. 5, the assignment $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle \mapsto T$ of the Jordan $*$-automorphism T of $\mathcal{N}$ to an automorphism $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is also contravariant, so we have shown:

Proposition 11 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra. There is an injective group homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{biHeyt}(\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle &\longmapsto \widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

from the automorphism group of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *into the opposite of automorphism group of the complete bi-Heyting algebra* $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *of clopen subobjects. If* $\mathcal{N}$ *has no type* I_2*-summand, there is an injective group homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{Jordan}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{biHeyt}(\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) \tag{44}$$

from the group of Jordan $*$*-automorphisms of* $\mathcal{N}$ *into the group of automorphisms of the complete bi-Heyting algebra* $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$*.*

Corollary 12 *Let* $\mathcal{N}$ *be a von Neumann algebra with no type* I_2*-summand. There is an injective group homomorphism from the unitary group* $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ *of* $\mathcal{N}$ *into the opposite of the automorphism group of the complete bi-Heyting algebra* $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$*.*

Proof. Every $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ induces an inner algebra automorphism $\phi_{\hat{U}} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. This algebra automorphism is a Jordan $*$-automorphism, so $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ can be considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{Jordan}(\mathcal{N})$. ■

Lemma 13 *Let* $\mathcal{N}$ *be a von Neumann algebra with no type* I_2*-summand, and let* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *be its spectral presheaf. Let* $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ *be an automorphism of* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *with underlying twisting map* $\gamma : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$*, and let* $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1} : \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *be the induced automorphism of* $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *(with twisting map* γ^{-1}*). For* $\underline{S} \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$*, let*

$$\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} := \alpha_V^{-1}((\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V), \tag{45}$$

$$\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}} := \alpha_{\gamma(V)}^{-1}(\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}) = \alpha_{\gamma(V)}^{-1}(((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{S}))_V). \tag{46}$$

Then, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$*,*

$$\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} = T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}}), \tag{47}$$

where $T : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ *is the Jordan* $*$*-automorphism of* $\mathcal{N}$ *induced by* $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ *(cf. Thm. 5).*

Proof. Let $T : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the Jordan $*$-automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$ corresponding to the automorphism $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and let T^{-1} be the inverse Jordan $*$-automorphism corresponding to $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle^{-1}$. Then, by (41), the homeomorphism $\eta_V^{-1} : ((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}))_V = \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} \rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$ is given by $\eta_V^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda \circ T^{-1}|_V$ for all $\lambda \in \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma(V)}$.

Noting that the inverse of $T^{-1}|_V : V \to \gamma^{-1}(V)$ is $T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} : \gamma^{-1}(V) \to V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in ((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{S}))_V = \underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} &\Longleftrightarrow \lambda(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}}) = 1 && (48)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow (\lambda \circ T^{-1}|_V \circ T|_{\gamma-1(V)})(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}}) = 1 && (49)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow (\lambda \circ T^{-1}|_V)(T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}})) = 1 && (50)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow \eta_V^{-1}(\lambda)(T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}})) = 1, && (51)
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in ((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{S}))_V &\Longleftrightarrow \eta_V^{-1}(\lambda) \in \eta_V^{-1}(((\Gamma^{-1})^*(\underline{S}))_V) && (52)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow \eta_V^{-1}(\lambda)(\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V}) = 1, && (53)
\end{aligned}$$

so $\eta_V^{-1}(\lambda)(T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}})) = 1$ if and only if $\eta_V^{-1}(\lambda)(\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V}) = 1$, and hence

$$\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} = T|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\gamma^{-1}(V)}}). \tag{54}$$

■

This lemma shows that the projections corresponding to the components of the subobject $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S})$ are given by the projections corresponding to the components of the original subobject $\underline{S}$, 'rotated' by T, where T is the Jordan $*$-automorphism corresponding to $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$. Note that Jordan automorphisms correspond *contra*variantly to automorphisms of the spectral presheaf by Thm. 5. Hence, T goes in the 'opposite direction' relative to $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$, and hence in the 'same direction' as $\widetilde{\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle}^{-1} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$.

Corollary 14 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with no type I_2-summand, let $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$, and let $\widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}}\rangle}^{-1} = \widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}^{-1}}\rangle} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be the induced automorphism of $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. Then, for all $\underline{S} \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,*

$$\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}}\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} = \hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}^{-1}}\rangle}(\underline{S}))_V} = \hat{U}\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}}\hat{U}^{-1}, \tag{55}$$

where the projections are given by $\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}}\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} = \alpha_V^{-1}((\widetilde{\langle\Phi_{\hat{U}},\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}}\rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V)$ and $\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}} = \alpha_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}^{-1}(\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}})$ (cf. (27)).

Proof. Let the Jordan $*$-automorphism corresponding (by Thm. 5) to the automorphism $\langle\Gamma,\eta\rangle$ be denoted T. The twisting map corresponding to $\widetilde{\langle \Gamma, \eta \rangle}^{-1}$ is $\gamma^{-1} : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, given by $\gamma^{-1}(V) = \hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, which induces the Jordan $*$-automorphism $T^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}^{-1}})_V = (-) \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}|_V : \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}} \to \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is precomposition with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}|_V : V &\longrightarrow \hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U} \\ \hat{A} &\longmapsto \hat{U}^{-1} \hat{A} \hat{U}, \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

so $T^{-1}|_V = (\phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}|_V) : V \to \hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}$, with inverse $T|_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}} = \phi_{\hat{U}} | \hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U} : \hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U} \to V$. By Lemma 13,

$$\hat{P}_{(\widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}} \rangle}^{-1}(\underline{S}))_V} = T|_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}}}) \tag{57}$$

$$= \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}}}) \tag{58}$$

$$= \hat{U} \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{\hat{U}^{-1} V \hat{U}}} \hat{U}^{-1}. \tag{59}$$

■

Definition 15 *Let* $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ *be a flow on the spectral presheaf of* $\mathcal{N}$. *The* flow $\tilde{F}^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{biHeyt}(\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ on clopen subobjects corresponding to F *is the one-parameter group* $(\tilde{F}^{-1}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *of automorphisms of the complete bi-Heyting algebra* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, *given as follows: for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *if* $F(t) = \langle \Gamma_t, \eta_t \rangle$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^{-1}(t) = \widetilde{\langle \Gamma_t, \eta_t \rangle}^{-1} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \\ \underline{S} &\longmapsto \eta_t^{-1}((\Gamma_t^{-1})^*(\underline{S})). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Let $(\hat{U}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} = (e^{it\hat{H}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ *be the one-parameter group of unitaries in* $\mathcal{N}$ *induced by a self-adjoint operator* $\hat{H}$ *affiliated with* $\mathcal{N}$. *We define the corresponding flow* $(\tilde{F}^{-1}_{\hat{H}}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ *on* $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, *for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *by*

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}_{\hat{H}}(t) := \widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t} \rangle}^{-1} = \widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}} \rangle} : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \tag{61}$$

such that $\tilde{F}^{-1}_{\hat{H}}(\underline{S}) = \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}((\Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}})^*(\underline{S}))$.

We now come back to propositions about the values of physical quantities, and how they change in time. Let "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; t_0$" be such a proposition, and let $\hat{P}_{t_0}$ the corresponding projection in $\mathcal{N}$ (via the spectral theorem). Without loss of generality, we can assume $t_0 = 0$. We saw in (30) that there is a clopen subobject $\underline{\delta^o(\hat{P}_0)}$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ representing the proposition "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; 0$".

In standard quantum theory in the Heisenberg picture, time evolution is implemented by a (strongly continuous) one-parameter group $(\hat{U}_t^{-1})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{N}$ acting on self-adjoint operators (representing physical quantities), and hence also acting on projections (representing propositions). For any given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the proposition "$A \varepsilon \Delta; t$" is represented by the projection operator $\hat{P}_t := \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t$.[5] This determines a clopen subobject $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t) = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t)$. We will now show that the flow on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ associated with the one-parameter group $(\hat{U}_t^{-1})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ actually maps $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)$ to $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 16 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with no type I_2-summand, and let $(\hat{U}_t^{-1})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a one-parameter group of unitaries in $\mathcal{N}$, induced by a self-adjoint operator $\hat{H}$ affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\hat{U}_t^{-1} = e^{-it\hat{H}}$. Let $(\tilde{F}^{-1}(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the flow on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ given by*

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}(t) = \langle\widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}}\rangle^{-1} = \langle\widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t}}\rangle \tag{62}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, let "$A \varepsilon \Delta; 0$" be a proposition, represented by the projection $\hat{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0) \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be the clopen subobject corresponding to $\hat{P}_0$ by (30). Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}(t)(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)) = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t), \tag{63}$$

where $\hat{P}_t = \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t$ is the projection in $\mathcal{N}$ that corresponds to the proposition "$A \varepsilon \Delta; t$", and $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)$ is the corresponding clopen subobject.

Proof. For all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, we have

$$\hat{P}_{(\tilde{F}^{-1}(t)(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)))_V} = \hat{P}_{(\langle\widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t}}\rangle(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)))_V} \overset{\text{Cor. 14}}{=} \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_{\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}}\hat{U}_t. \tag{64}$$

Moreover, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_{\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}}\hat{U}_t \\
&\overset{(26)}{=} \hat{U}_t^{-1} \bigwedge\{\hat{U}_t\hat{Q}\hat{U}_t^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}) \mid \hat{U}_t\hat{Q}\hat{U}_t^{-1} \geq \hat{P}_0)\}\hat{U}_t && (65)\\
&= \bigwedge\{\hat{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(V) \mid \hat{U}_t\hat{Q}\hat{U}_t^{-1} \geq \hat{P}_0\} && (66)\\
&= \bigwedge\{\hat{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(V) \mid \hat{Q} \geq \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t\} && (67)\\
&\overset{(26)}{=} \delta^o(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t)_V && (68)\\
&= \hat{P}_{(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t))_V}, && (69)
\end{aligned}$$

---

[5] Note that $\hat{P}_0$ is conjugated by $\hat{U}_t^{-1}$ (and not by $\hat{U}_t$), which amounts to another reversal of direction. We follow the usual convention in quantum theory here.

so $\hat{P}_{(\tilde{F}^{-1}(t)(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)))_V} = \hat{P}_{(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t))_V}$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, and hence

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}(t)(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)) = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t) = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t). \tag{70}$$

■

More generally, if $\underline{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is a clopen subobject of the spectral presheaf that represents some proposition about the quantum system at time $t_0 = 0$, then time evolution (for time t) in the Heisenberg picture maps $\underline{S}_0$ to

$$\underline{S}_t := \tilde{F}^{-1}(t)(\underline{S}_0) = \widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t} \rangle}(\underline{S}_0). \tag{71}$$

4.2 States as probability measures and Schrödinger picture

Quantum states are identified with states of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$. In order to describe time evolution in the Schrödinger picture, we need a representation of states as structures related to the spectral presheaf.

Definition 17 *([3]) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with context category $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, let $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be the complete bi-Heyting algebra of clopen subobjects of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and let $A(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1])$ be the set of antitone maps from the poset $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ to the unit interval. A map*

$$\mu : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \longrightarrow A(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1]) \tag{72}$$

is called a probability measure on (the clopen subobjects of) the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *if*

1. *$\mu(\underline{\Sigma}) = 1_{\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$, the constant function on $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ with value 1,*
2. *for all $\underline{S}, \underline{T} \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$,*

   $$\mu(\underline{S}) + \mu(\underline{T}) = \mu(\underline{S} \vee \underline{T}) + \mu(\underline{S} \wedge \underline{T}), \tag{73}$$

   where meets, joins and addition are defined stagewise, at each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ locally (e.g. $(\mu(\underline{S}) + \mu(\underline{T}))(V) = \mu(\underline{S})(V) + \mu(\underline{T})(V)$).[6]

The convex set of probability measures on $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is denoted $\mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$.

---

[6] In [3], the codomain of a measure was given equivalently as the set $\Gamma\underline{[0,1]^{\succeq}}$ of global sections of a certain presheaf.

The following result, which was proven in [3], shows that probability measures on $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ in the sense defined above indeed correspond to states of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$:

Theorem 18 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with no type I_2-summand. There is an isomorphism of convex sets between $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$, the convex set of states on $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$, the convex set of probability measures on the spectral presheaf of $\mathcal{N}$.*

The proof idea is to show that each probability measure $\mu : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1])$ determines a unique finitely additive probability measure $m : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}) \to [0,1]$ on the projections in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$. By the generalised version of Gleason's theorem (see [25] and references therein), such a map m induces a unique state ρ_μ of $\mathcal{N}$. Conversely, every state ρ gives a probability measure μ_ρ on $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. Normal states were characterised in [3] by a local property, and by a regularity condition in [17]: normal states correspond bijectively to those probability measures $\mu : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to A(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1])$ that preserve (countable) joins of increasing families of clopen subobjects.

We will now give another, equivalent characterisation of states as probability measures on the spectral presheaf $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$. This description makes it easier to define the action of one-parameter groups of unitaries on the set of measures, which physically corresponds to time evolution in the Schrödinger picture of the quantum system described by $\mathcal{N}$.

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$ be a state of $\mathcal{N}$. For each abelian von Neumann subalgebra $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, we obtain a finitely additive probability measure (*FAPM*)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho|_V : \mathcal{P}(V) &\longrightarrow [0,1] \\ \hat{P} &\longmapsto \rho(\hat{P}) \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

on the projections in V, that is,

$$\forall \hat{P}, \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(V) : \hat{P}\hat{Q} = \hat{0} \Longleftrightarrow \rho|_V(\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) = \rho|_V(\hat{P}) + \rho|_V(\hat{Q}) \tag{75}$$

and $\rho|_V(\hat{1}) = 1$. Using the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_V : \mathcal{P}(V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \\ \hat{P} &\longmapsto \{\lambda \in \underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V \mid \lambda(\hat{P}) = 1\} \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

between the complete Boolean algebras of projections in V and clopen subsets of the Gelfand spectrum of V, we can think of $\rho|_V$ as a FAPM

$$\rho|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1} : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \longrightarrow [0,1] \tag{77}$$

on clopen subsets of the Gelfand spectrum $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$ of V. Note that $\mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) = (\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})_V$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$.

Definition 19 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra, and let $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ be its context category. The presheaf $\underline{CP}$ of classical probability measures on $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is given*

(a) on objects: for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\underline{CP}_V := \{m_V : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \to [0,1] \mid m_V \text{ is a FAPM}\}, \tag{78}$$

(b) on arrows: for all inclusions $i_{V'V}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{CP}(i_{V'V}) : \underline{CP}_V &\longrightarrow \underline{CP}_{V'} \\ m_V &\longmapsto m_V \circ \underline{\Sigma}(i_{V'V})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Here, we use the fact that a restriction map like $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}(i_{V'V})$ is continuous and hence measurable (i.e, $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}(i_{V'V})^{-1}$ takes measurable subsets of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_{V'}$ to measurable subsets of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V$).

Proposition 20 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with no type I_2-summand. There is an isomorphism of convex sets between $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$, the set of states of $\mathcal{N}$, and the set $\Gamma\underline{CP}$ of global sections of the presheaf $\underline{CP}$.*

Proof. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$. Then the family $(\rho|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1})_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$, where $\rho|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1} : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \to [0,1]$ for each V, clearly is a global section of $\underline{CP}$.

Conversely, let $m = (m_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$ be a global section of $\underline{CP}$. For each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, we have a FAPM

$$m_V : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \longrightarrow [0,1] \tag{80}$$

and hence $m_V \circ \alpha_V : \mathcal{P}(V) \to [0,1]$ by the isomorphism $\alpha_V : \mathcal{P}(V) \to \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V)$. The fact that $m = (m_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$ is a global section of $\underline{CP}$ implies that

$$(m_V \circ \alpha_V)|_{\mathcal{P}(V')} = m_{V'} \circ \alpha_{V'} \tag{81}$$

for all $V, V' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $V' \subset V$. For all $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$, let

$$\mu(\hat{P}) := (m_V \circ \alpha_V)(\hat{P}), \tag{82}$$

where V is some abelian von Neumann subalgebra that contains $\hat{P}$. This is well-defined because of eq. (81). Clearly, $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}) \to [0,1]$ is a finitely additive probability measure on the projections in $\mathcal{N}$. By the generalised version of Gleason's theorem [25], the measure μ corresponds to a unique state ρ_μ on $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\rho_\mu|_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})} = \mu$. ■

Lemma 21 *There is an isomorphism of convex sets between the set* $\Gamma\underline{CP}$ *of global sections of the presheaf* $\underline{CP}$ *and the set* $\mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ *of probability measures on* $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ *(as in Def. 17).*

Proof. Let $m = (m_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$ be a global section of $\Gamma\underline{CP}$. This defines a map

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{m} : \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\longrightarrow A(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1]) \\ \underline{S} = (\underline{S}_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})} &\longmapsto (m_V(\alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_V)))_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

such that $\tilde{m}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) = (m_V(\alpha^{-1}(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})))_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})} = (m_V(\hat{1}))_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})} = 1_{\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$. Moreover, writing $\hat{P}_S := \alpha_V^{-1}(S)$, where $S \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$, we have for all $\underline{S}_1, \underline{S}_2 \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$(\tilde{m}(\underline{S}_1) + \tilde{m}(\underline{S}_2))_V = m_V(\alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_{1;V})) + m_V(\alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_{2;V})) \tag{84}$$

$$= m_V(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}} + \hat{P}(\underline{S}_{2;V})) \tag{85}$$

$$= m_V(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}} \vee \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{2;V}} + \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}} \wedge \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{2;V}}) \tag{86}$$

$$= m_V(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}} \vee \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{2;V}}) + m_V(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}} \wedge \hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{1;V}}) \tag{87}$$

$$= (\tilde{m}(\underline{S}_1 \vee \underline{S}_2))_V + (\tilde{m}(\underline{S}_1 \wedge \underline{S}_2))_V, \tag{88}$$

so $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$.

Conversely, let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$. If $S \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$ is a clopen subset of $\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}}$, the Gelfand spectrum of V, then there is a clopen subobject $\underline{S} \in \mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $\underline{S}_V = S$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_V : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}}) &\longrightarrow [0,1] \\ S &\longmapsto \mu(\underline{S})(V).\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

For each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, $\mu_V(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}}) = \mu(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})(V) = 1$. Moreover, if $S, T \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$ are disjoint clopen subsects of $\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}}$, there are clopen subobjects of $\underline{S}, \underline{T}$ of $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $\underline{S}_V = S$ and $\underline{T}_V = T$, and, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$

$$\mu_V(S \cup T) = \mu_V((\underline{S} \vee \underline{T})_V) \tag{90}$$

$$= \mu(\underline{S} \vee \underline{T})(V) \tag{91}$$

$$= (\mu(\underline{S}) + \mu(\underline{T}) - \mu(\underline{S} \wedge \underline{T}))(V) \tag{92}$$

$$= \mu(\underline{S})(V) + \mu(\underline{T})(V) \tag{93}$$

$$= \mu_V(S) + \mu_V(T), \tag{94}$$

so μ_V is a finitely additive probability measure on $\mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$, and

$$\tilde{\mu} := (\mu_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})} \in \Gamma\underline{CP}. \tag{95}$$

Clearly, the two maps are inverse to each other. ■

Prop. 20 and Lemma 21 together immediately imply Thm. 18 (so we have an alternative proof to the one given in [3]). The workhorse here is of course the generalised version of Gleason's theorem [25]. Prop. 20 can be read as a reformulation of this powerful theorem in terms of global sections of a certain presheaf. This is conceptually similar to the reformulation of the Kochen-Specker theorem in terms of non-existence of global sections of the spectral presheaf [21, 22, 2].

We will write $m_\rho = ((m_\rho)_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}$ for the global section of $\underline{CP}$ that corresponds to a given state ρ of $\mathcal{N}$. There is a *state-proposition pairing*

$$\begin{aligned} p : \Gamma\underline{CP} \times \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1]) \\ (m_\rho, \underline{S}) &\longmapsto m_\rho(\underline{S}), \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

given by

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) : (m_\rho(\underline{S}))_V := (m_\rho)_V(\underline{S}_V) \tag{97}$$

We note that for each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, we have $(m_\rho(\underline{S}))_V = (\mu_\rho)_V(\underline{S}_V) = (\mu_\rho(\underline{S}))_V$, so by construction,

$$m_\rho(\underline{S}) = \mu_\rho(\underline{S}), \tag{98}$$

where $\mu_\rho \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ is the probability measure on $\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ corresponding to ρ by Thm. 18.

In standard quantum theory, a unitary acts on a state in the following way: if ρ is a normal state with density matrix $\tilde{\rho}$, that is, $\rho = \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}-) : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ (where $\tilde{\rho}$ is followed by a 'placeholder' into which an argument can be inserted), then

$$\hat{U}.\rho = \hat{U}.\,\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}-) := \mathrm{tr}(\hat{U}\tilde{\rho}\hat{U}^{-1}-) = \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}\hat{U}^{-1} - \hat{U}) = \rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}, \tag{99}$$

where $\phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ is the inner automorphism induced by $\hat{U}^{-1}$. More generally, even if ρ is not normal, we define $\hat{U}.\rho := \rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}$.

Definition 22 *Let $m_\rho \in \Gamma\underline{CP}$ be the global section of $\underline{CP}$ corresponding to the state ρ, and let $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ be a unitary. Then we define $\hat{U}.m_\rho$ by*

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) : (\hat{U}.m_\rho)_V := m_\rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(- \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}) : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \longrightarrow [0,1]. \tag{100}$$

Since $m_\rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} = \rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}$, we have

$$(\hat{U}.m_\rho)_V = \rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(- \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}). \tag{101}$$

Lemma 23 *$\hat{U}.m_\rho$ is the global section of $\underline{CP}$ corresponding to the state $\hat{U}.\rho := \rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}$, that is, $\hat{U}.m_\rho = m_{\hat{U}.\rho} = m_{\rho\circ\phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}}$.*

Proof. The components of the global section $m_{\hat{U}.\rho}$ of $\underline{CP}$ corresponding to $\hat{U}.\rho$ are given, for each $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, by

$$(m_{\hat{U}.\rho})_V = \{(\rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}})|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1} : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}}) \to [0,1]\} \tag{102}$$

(cf. proof of Prop. 20). Let $S \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$. Then, writing $\hat{P}_S := \alpha_V^{-1}(S)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}})|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1}(S) &= \rho(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}) && (103)\\ &= \rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}) && (104)\\ &= \rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}). && (105)\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \in \alpha_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}) &\Longleftrightarrow \lambda(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}) = 1 && (106)\\ &\Longleftrightarrow \lambda \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}|_V(\hat{P}_S) = 1 && (107)\\ &\Longleftrightarrow \lambda \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}}|_V \in S && (108)\\ &\Longleftrightarrow \lambda \in S \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}, && (109)\end{aligned}$$

so $\alpha_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}_S\hat{U}) = S \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}$ and hence

$$(\rho \circ \phi_{\hat{U}^{-1}})|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1}(S) = \rho|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(S \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}) \tag{110}$$

for each $S \in \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}_V^{\mathcal{N}})$. ■

Definition 24 *Let $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitaries in $\mathcal{N}$, induced by a self-adjoint operator $\hat{A}$ affiliated with $\mathcal{N}$, and let $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$ be a state. We write $\rho_t := \hat{U}_t.\rho_0 = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}$ for the state evolved by time t, and $m_{\rho_t} = (m_{\rho_t})_V$ for the corresponding global section of the presheaf $\underline{CP}$ of classical probability measures.*

The one-parameter group $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ induces a flow $\overline{F}_{\hat{A}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma\underline{CP})$ on the global sections of the presheaf $\underline{CP}$ of classical probability measures *such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F}_{\hat{A}}(t) : \Gamma\underline{CP} &\longrightarrow \Gamma\underline{CP} && (111)\\ m_{\rho_0} &\longmapsto m_{\rho_t},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) : (m_{\rho_t})_V = m_{\rho_0}|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}(- \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t}). \tag{112}$$

Concretely, as shown by Lemma 23, the global section m_{ρ_t} is given, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$, by

$$(m_{\rho_t})_V = \rho_0|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(- \circ \phi_{\hat{U}}|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}) : \mathcal{C}l(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}_V) \longrightarrow [0,1]. \tag{113}$$

By Lemma 21, we have $\Gamma\underline{CP} \simeq \mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$, so we can regard a flow $\overline{F}_{\hat{A}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma\underline{CP})$ alternatively as a flow $\overline{F}_{\hat{A}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}))$.

4.3 Compatibility between the Heisenberg picture and the Schrödinger picture and covariance under the unitary group

In standard quantum theory, the Heisenberg picture and the Schrödinger picture of time evolution are compatible in the following sense: one can either apply time evolution to the proposition and leave the state fixed, or evolve the state and leave the proposition fixed – the two situations cannot be distinguished physically. We will show that our reformulation of the Heisenberg picture and the Schrödinger picture based on flows on $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ respectively on $\Gamma\underline{CP} \simeq \mathcal{M}(\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}})$ are compatible in an analogous manner.

Let $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$, interpreted as the state of the quantum system at time $t_0 = 0$, let $\hat{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ be a projection, representing a proposition of the form "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; 0$" at time $t_0 = 0$, and let $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitaries in $\mathcal{N}$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\rho_t = \hat{U}_t.\rho_0 = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}$ be the state evolved by time t (Schrödinger picture), and let $\hat{P}_t = \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t$ be the projection evolved by time t (Heisenberg picture). Then

$$\rho_t(\hat{P}_0) = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}(\hat{P}_0) = \rho_0(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t) = \rho_0(\hat{P}_t). \tag{114}$$

This is the compatibility between Schrödinger picture and Heisenberg picture of standard quantum theory. The expression $\rho_t(\hat{P}_0)$ is the expectation value of the proposition "$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta; 0$" represented by $\hat{P}_0$ being true upon measurement, that is, the probability of finding a measurement outcome in Δ when A is measured in the state ρ_t. Since we consider measurements of $\hat{P}_0$ in the state ρ_t, we have to pick a context $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ (at least implicitly) that contains the binary observable $\hat{P}_0$, because contexts represent co-measurable sets of physical quantities, and only these are accessible to experiment. Analogously, evaluating $\rho_0(\hat{P}_t)$ means picking a context that contains $\hat{P}_t$. If V contains $\hat{P}_0$, then $\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t$ contains $\hat{P}_t = \hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t$. The relevant contexts can be displayed explicitly:

$$\rho_t|_V(\hat{P}_0) = \rho_0|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}(\hat{P}_t). \tag{115}$$

We now show that our definitions of the Heisenberg and the Schrödinger picture based on flows on $\mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ respectively on $\Gamma\underline{CP}$ mirror this compatibility property, including the dependence on two different contexts:

Proposition 25 *Let $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$ be a state, and let m_{ρ_0} be the corresponding global section of $\underline{CP}$. Let $\underline{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be a clopen subobject, representing a proposition about the quantum system, e.g. $\underline{S}_0 = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)$, where $\hat{P}_0$ represents the proposition "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta$". Let $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitaries in $\mathcal{N}$, let m_{ρ_t} be the global section of $\underline{CP}$ corresponding to $\rho_t = \hat{U}_t.\rho_0 = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}$ (cf. Def. 24), and let $\underline{S}_t$ be the clopen subobject*

$$\underline{S}_t := \widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t} \rangle}(\underline{S}_0) \tag{116}$$

(cf. 71). Then, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(m_{\rho_t}(\underline{S}_0))_V = (m_{\rho_0}(\underline{S}_t))_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}. \tag{117}$$

Proof. We have, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_{\rho_t}(\underline{S}_0))_V &= ((m_{\rho_t})_V(\underline{S}_{0;V})) && (118)\\
&= \rho_0|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t} \circ \alpha^{-1}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}(\underline{S}_{0;V} \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t}|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}) && (119)\\
&= \rho_0|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}(\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{0;V}}\hat{U}_t) && (120)\\
&\overset{\text{Cor. }14}{=} \rho_0|_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}(\hat{P}_{\widetilde{\langle \Phi_{\hat{U}_t}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t}\rangle}(\underline{S}_0)_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}}) && (121)\\
&= \rho_0|_{\hat{U}^{-1}V\hat{U}}(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{t;\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}}) && (122)\\
&= (m_{\rho_0}(\underline{S}_t))_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}V\hat{U}_t}. && (123)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Equation (117) is the analogue of the usual quantum-theoretic relation (115). Yet, (117) holds for *all* contexts $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ simultaneously.

Information that can be extracted physically from a quantum system is (a) outcomes of experiments, and (b) expectation values of such outcomes in the long run. The well-known *Born rule* allows to calculate the expectation value of a physical quantity in a given state. As was shown in [3, 17] (see also [11]), the Born rule is captured by the topos approach to quantum theory. Concretely, if "$A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta$" is a proposition, represented by $\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}) \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\mu_\rho : \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}), [0,1])$ is the probability measure corresponding to a state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$, then the minimum of the antitone function $\mu_\rho(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}))$:

$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to [0,1]$ is the expectation value of finding the proposition to be true upon measurement of the physical quantity A, that is, the probability of finding the measurement outcome to lie in Δ,

$$\mathrm{Prob}(\text{“}A\,\varepsilon\,\Delta\text{”};\rho) = \min_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}(\mu_\rho(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P})))_V \overset{(98)}{=} \min_{V\in\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})}(m_\rho(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P})))_V. \tag{124}$$

Prop. 25 implies in particular that the minima of the two antitone functions

$$m_{\rho_t}(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)),\ m_{\rho_0}(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t)) : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}) \to [0,1] \tag{125}$$

are equal. This means that the expectation values, which are the physically relevant quantities, are equal for the Schrödinger picture, which is represented by $m_{\rho_t}(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0))$, and the Heisenberg picture, which is represented by $m_{\rho_0}(\underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_t))$.

Covariance. The compatibility between the Schrödinger and the Heisenberg picture can alternatively be formulated in terms of a covariance relation. In standard quantum theory, the physical predictions do not change if we replace the state ρ_0 by $\rho_t = \hat{U}_t.\rho_0 = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}$ (cf. Def. 24) and *at the same time* replace each physical quantity $\hat{A}_0$ by $\hat{U}_t\hat{A}_0\hat{U}_t^{-1}$, hence standard quantum theory is covariant under the action of the unitary group (of the von Neumann algebra of observables). For example, if ρ_0 is a normal state with density matrix $\tilde{\rho}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(\hat{A}_0) &= \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}_0\hat{A}_0) &(126)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\hat{U}_t\tilde{\rho}_0\hat{U}_t^{-1}\hat{U}_t\hat{A}_0\hat{U}_t^{-1}) &(127)\\ &= \rho_t(\hat{U}_t\hat{A}\hat{U}_t^{-1}). &(128)\end{aligned}$$

We will focus on projections here, so $\hat{A}_0 = \hat{P}_0$. Then, by the usual convention (see footnote 5), $\hat{U}_t\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t^{-1} = \hat{P}_{-t}$ (and not $\hat{P}_t$), so the covariance relation reads

$$\rho_0(\hat{P}_0) = \rho_t(\hat{P}_{-t}). \tag{129}$$

If $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ is a context that contains $\hat{P}_0$, then $\hat{U}_tV\hat{U}_t^{-1}$ contains $\hat{P}_{-t} = \hat{U}_t\hat{P}_0\hat{U}_t^{-1}$, so explicitly noting contexts as in eq. (115), we have

$$\rho_0|_V(\hat{P}_0) = \rho_t|_{\hat{U}_tV\hat{U}_t^{-1}}(\hat{P}_{-t}). \tag{130}$$

Proposition 26 *Let $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N})$ be a state, and let m_{ρ_0} be the corresponding global section of $\underline{CP}$. Let $\underline{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Sub_{cl}}\underline{\Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}$ be a clopen subobject, representing a* *proposition about the quantum system, e.g.* $\underline{S}_0 = \underline{\delta^o}(\hat{P}_0)$*, where* $\hat{P}_0$ *represents the proposition "*$A \,\varepsilon\, \Delta$*". Let* $(\hat{U}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitaries in* $\mathcal{N}$*, let* m_{ρ_t} *be the global section of* $\underline{CP}$ *corresponding to* $\rho_t = \hat{U}_t.\rho_0 = \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}$*, and let* $\underline{S}_{-t}$ *be the clopen subobject*

$$\underline{S}_{-t} := \langle \widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_{-t}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_{-t}}} \rangle(\underline{S}_0) = \langle \widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}} \rangle(\underline{S}_0). \tag{131}$$

Then, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ *and all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$(m_{\rho_0}(\underline{S}_0))_V = (m_{\rho_t}(\underline{S}_t))_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}. \tag{132}$$

Proof. We have, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N})$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_{\rho_t}(\underline{S}_{-t}))_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} &= ((m_{\rho_t})_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}})(\underline{S}_{-t;\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}) && (133)\\
&\overset{\text{Def. 22, Lemma 23}}{=} \rho_0|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_{-t;\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t}|_V). && (134)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{S}_{-t;\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} &= (\langle \widetilde{\Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}} \rangle(\underline{S}_0))_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} && (135)\\
&= \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1};\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}(\Phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}(\underline{S}_0))_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} && (136)\\
&= \mathcal{G}_{\hat{U}_t^{-1};\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}(\underline{S}_{0;V}) && (137)\\
&= \underline{S}_{0;V} \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}|_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}}, && (138)
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_{\rho_t}(\underline{S}_{-t}))_V &= \rho_0|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_{0;V} \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t^{-1}}|_{\hat{U}_t V \hat{U}_t^{-1}} \circ \phi_{\hat{U}_t}|_V) && (139)\\
&= \rho_0|_V \circ \alpha_V^{-1}(\underline{S}_{0;V}) && (140)\\
&= \rho_0|_V(\hat{P}_{\underline{S}_{0;V}}) && (141)\\
&= (m_{\rho_0}(\underline{S}_0))_V. && (142)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Equation (132) is the direct analogue of the covariance relation (130) of standard quantum theory.

Acknowledgements. Discussions with Chris Isham, Boris Zilber and Yuri Manin are gratefully acknowledged. Tom Woodhouse worked out a number of aspects in his M.Sc. thesis under my supervision. Rui Soares Barbosa pointed out some important issues, and Nadish de Silva, Dan Marsden and Carmen Constantin gave valuable feedback, for which I thank them. I also thank Bertfried Fauser, who carefully read a draft and made a number of very helpful remarks. I also thank John Harding, Izumi Ojima and Dirk Pattinson for their interest in this work.

References

[1] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras, Theory of C^*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2006).

[2] A. Döring, "Kochen-Specker theorem for von Neumann algebras", *Int. Jour. Theor. Phys.* **44**, 139–160 (2005).

[3] A. Döring, "Quantum States and Measures on the Spectral Presheaf", *Adv. Sci. Lett.* **2**, special issue on "Quantum Gravity, Cosmology and Black Holes", ed. M. Bojowald, 291–301 (2009).

[4] A. Döring, "Topos theory and 'neo-realist' quantum theory", in *Quantum Field Theory, Competitive Models*, eds. B. Fauser, J. Tolksdorf, E. Zeidler, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin (2009).

[5] A. Döring, "Topos quantum logic and mixed states", in *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Quantum Physics and Logic (QPL 2009), Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* **270**, No. 2 (2011).

[6] A. Döring, "The Physical Interpretation of Daseinisation", in *Deep Beauty*, ed. Hans Halvorson, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 207–238 (2011).

[7] A. Döring, "Topos-based Logic for Quantum Systems and Bi-Heyting Algebras", to appear in *Logic & Algebra in Quantum Computing*, Lecture Notes in Logic, Association for Symbolic Logic in conjunction with Cambridge University Press; arXiv:1202.2750 (2012).

[8] A. Döring, "Generalised Gelfand Spectra of Nonabelian Unital C^*-Algebras I: Categorical Aspects, Automorphisms and Jordan Structure", arXiv (2012).

[9] A. Döring, R. Soares Barbosa, "Unsharp Values, Domains and Topoi", in *Quantum Field Theory and Gravity, Conceptual and Mathematical Advances in the Search for a Unified Framework*, eds. F. Finster et al., Birkhäuser, Basel, 65–96 (2012).

[10] A. Döring, B. Dewitt, "Self-adjoint Operators as Functions I: Lattices, Galois Connections, and the Spectral Order", arXiv:1208.4724 (2012).

[11] A. Döring, B. Dewitt, "Self-adjoint Operators as Functions II: Quantum Probability", arXiv:1210.5747 (2012).

[12] A. Döring, C.J. Isham, "A topos foundation for theories of physics: I. Formal languages for physics", *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, Issue 5, 053515 (2008).

[13] A. Döring, C.J. Isham, "A topos foundation for theories of physics: II. Daseinisation and the liberation of quantum theory", *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, Issue 5, 053516 (2008).

[14] A. Döring, C.J. Isham, "A topos foundation for theories of physics: III. Quantum theory and the representation of physical quantities with arrows $\breve{\delta}(\hat{A}) : \underline{\Sigma} \to \underline{\mathbb{R}^{\leftrightarrow}}$", *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, Issue 5, 053517 (2008).

[15] A. Döring, C.J. Isham, "A topos foundation for theories of physics: IV. Categories of systems", *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, Issue 5, 053518 (2008).

[16] A. Döring, and C.J. Isham, "'What is a Thing?': Topos Theory in the Foundations of Physics", in *New Structures for Physics*, ed. B. Coecke, Lecture Notes in Physics **813**, Springer, Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London, New York, 753–937 (2011).

[17] A. Döring, C.J. Isham, "Classical and Quantum Probabilities as Truth Values", *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 032101 (2012).

[18] C. Heunen, N.P. Landsman, B. Spitters, "A topos for algebraic quantum theory", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **291**, 63–110 (2009).

[19] C. Heunen, N.P. Landsman, B. Spitters, "Bohrification of von Neumann algebras and quantum logic", *Synthese*, online first, DOI: 10.1007/s11229-011-9918-4 (2011).

[20] C. Heunen, N.P. Landsman, B. Spitters, "Bohrification", in *Deep Beauty*, ed. H. Halvorson, Cambridge University Press, 271–313 (2011).

[21] C.J. Isham, J. Butterfield, "A topos perspective on the Kochen-Specker theorem: I. Quantum states as generalised valuations", *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37**, 2669–2733 (1998).

[22] C.J. Isham, J. Hamilton, J. Butterfield, "A topos perspective on the Kochen-Specker theorem: III. Von Neumann algebras as the base category", *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **39**, 1413–1436 (2000).

[23] P.T. Johnstone, *Sketches of an Elephant: A Topos Theory Compendium*, Vols. 1&2, Oxford Logic Guides **43&44**, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2002/03).

[24] R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Volume 1: Elementary Theory* and *Volume 2: Advanced Theory*, Academic Press, New York (1983/86).

[25] S. Maeda, "Probability Measures on Projections in von Neumann Algebras", Reviews in Mathematical Physics **1**, No. 2, 235–290 (1990).

[26] S. MacLane, I. Moerdijk, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory*, Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg (1992).

[27] S. Wolters, "A Comparison of Two Topos-Theoretic Approaches to Quantum Theory", arXiv:1010.2031v2 (version 2 from 3. August 2011).

[28] T. Woodhouse, "Time Evolution in Quantum Theory and Quantum Information, A Topos Theoretic Perspective", M.Sc. thesis, University of Oxford (2011).